1930

# Department of Revenue

OFFICE Bd. of Rev.

STATION Madras.

| | |
|---|---|
| Mis No. 318 | K 8951 |
| Dated 29—1—30. | |

| To whom sent | Date | Initial |
|---|---|---|
| | Given for copying 30/1/30 | |
| | Copied 30/1/30 | |
| | Examined 31/1 | |
| | Signed | |
| | Given for despatch | |
| | Despatched | |
| | Indexed | |
| Pages in the file | To be destroyed on | |

(SUBJECT.)

Allowances - Taddk - (Kanara S.) - Coondapoor Tk - Konivye - Umaygk Dew - Institution as 353. - Payment of arrears - Ordered

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records

1/ 4895/

R.O.C.10214-29. South Kanara Collector's Office,

Mangalore, 29th October 1929.

From

W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue & Settlement,

M a d r a s.

Sir,

Allowances - Tasdik - Institution No.353 - Vinayak Dev of Koni village - (Coondapoor Taluk) - Kanara South - Payment of arrears.

********

The Tahsildar, Coondapoor recommends that the tasdik relating to institution No.353 Vinayak Dev of Koni village which has been in deposit from fasli 1330 may be disbursed to one Shitarama Madyasta, son of Srinivas Madyasta of Koni village who is the Moktessor of that temple.

The history of the case will be found in the Tahsildar's reports dated 29th August 1929 and 7th October '29 in the records of enquiry enclosed. The Tahsildar recommends that tasdik be disbursed to the petitioner from fasli 1332. The petitioner applied to the Tahsildar in 1925 and obtained the sanad only in 1927. The Tahsildar did not recommend the institution to be struck off the register under B.S.O.67 (7) presumably on account of the application of the petitioner in 1925. The petitioner should have obtained the sanad as soon as his father died in 1921 and applied for tasdik but he got his sanad only in 1927. I, therefore, recommend that the tasdik be paid from the year in which he got the sanad i.e., fasli 1337 since he is at fault for not having obtained the sanad earlier.

The institution is reported to be in good repairs and services to be conducted regularly. The records are ---

nclosed.

Your obedient servant,

For Collector.

M.D'S.1/11.

7/11/29 3

R. F. XXII-A-19.—26,004—31-1-29 (6).

FOR MEMBER.

A.S.(+R) 7.11 3

**Subject.—**

Allowances - Tasdik - (Kanara S.) - Coondapoor Tk - Kombge - Viriangk Dev. - Institution No. 353.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ 192 .

" " " _____ ; " _____ 192 .

READ ALSO :—

Register No. K 8951/29 From the Q, No. _____, dated _____ 192 .

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192 .

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192 .

RESOLUTION. K 8951 ~~No. 29-1~~, dated 7-11-1929.

pp19-20 of (K)

From the Tahsr's report dated 7-10-29 it is seen that the mokkessors stated before him that the application for a sannad was ~~so~~ made in 1921 and again in 925. From the CM's letter read above it does not appear that the statement was v---- no. The CM is requested to enquire and report if the application for a sannad was made soon after the death of the previous mokkessor in 1921 and if so, why it was not granted earlier than 1927.

p 1 C.F

11

M/ of S. Kanara (with the records of enquiry to be returned with reply)

7.11.29

R. 7.12.29

K9918

R.O.C.10214-29-B.1. South Kanara Collector's Office,

Mangalore, 30th November 1929.

From

G.W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Allowances - Tasdik - Institution No.354 - Vinayak Dev of Koni village - Arrears - Payment.

This office R.O.C.10214-29, dated 29th October 1929.

******

I request that early orders may be passed on the above reference.

Your obedient servant,

For Collector.

R 20.12.29 dispd

A.S(KR)

K.9918-A

Refk. 8951-29-2 of 6.12.29.

The attention of the Collr is invited to the B/s ref K.8951-29-1 dated 7.11.29 ~~the is requested~~ in which a further reference has been made to him. He is requested to submit his reply at an early date.

Vide Collr of S. Kanara.

5/12/29

5/12/29

2.12.29

5 K425

R.O.C.10214-29-B1. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, Dated 7th January, 1930.

From

G. W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue and Settlement,

Madras.

RP29

Sir,

Allowances - Tasdik -(Kanara South) Coondapoor Taluk - Koni village - Vinayak Dev - Institution No.353. p 30.F

Board's reference No.K.No.8951-29-1 dated 7-11-1929.

---

RP29-309(K) The Tahsildar's report dated 23-12-29 (enclosed with the records of enquiry) shows that the Revenue Inspector has not been able to verify the Moktessor's statement that he had made an application for sanad in 1921 and again in 1925. If the ------ petitioner Shitarama Madyastha had made anapplication for sanad in 1921, it is quite unlikely that the then existing Shivalli Temple Committee would have delayed passing orders on it till as late as 1927.

(K) The records of enquiry are enclosed for reference and return.

Enclosure- Records of enquiry.

Your obedient servant,

For Collector.

7.1.30

R. F. XXII-A-19.—26,004- 31-1-29 (5).

**Subject.—**

FOR MEMBER.

Allowances - Tasdik (Kanara S.) Coondapoor Tk. - Konaje - Vinayak Dev Institution No. 353 - Payment of arrears - Ordered.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ 192 .

" " " _____ , " _____ 192 .

READ ALSO :—

Register No. ~~K8951~~ K.9918/29 Q K.425/30 Q., From the Q _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192 .

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 192 .

RESOLUTION. Mis No. 318, dated 28-1- 1930

In the circumstances reported by the Coll ⁿ the Board sanctions the payment of the arrears from 1925 Subject to the usual deductions.

SG 29.1.30

28.1.30

29/1/30

Issue 29/1/30

To the Coll ⁿ of S Kanara (with the records if enquiry read)

attached to the docket sheet

SG 29.1.30.

Recd and Ctfd 30/1/30.

M1
S
A.S. (LR)

Allowances - Tasdik - (Kanara S.) - Coondapoor Tk - Kori Urge - Institution No. 353 - Vinayak Dev - Readmission and payment of arrears.

BDS Ref. K 875-1-29-1 & 7-11-29 ... p 3C.F
K. 425/30 - ——— p 5C.F

To A.S. (LR).

Pl. see the Collr's letters at pp 1-2 and 5C.F.

The institution in question not been struck off the registers required by B.S.G 67 (1) (7.) as l application for payment of arrea made in 1925. The question for consideration is whether the arrea from 1921 should be paid. The Bo can order the payment of arrea the failure to apply for the payment allowance as it fell due was not but to disability to neglect and if the institution question was in good order and service was properly rendered d the period in question. Condit (2) has been satisfied in the prese case. As regards condition (1) as is visited to the Taho's report at p of (K). The applicant states that

p 168 B.S.G Vol I.
p 1 C.F
B S G 67 (1) (7)
p 168 Vol I

he subd two applications one in 1921 and another in 1925 and that the sanad was granted only in 1927. There is however, no entry in the current reg of the Shivalli Brahmins Temple Committee about the application of 1921. The extract from the reg dt 28.10.27 gives only the import of the report subd by the Committee after granting the sanad. From the statement of the temple Committee that Shrinivasa Madyastha was appointed in the place of his father who died 6 months previously. The Talk says that the application should have been made soon after the death of the father. The Talk does not explain why the pet is not found in the Committee's file of petitions. Counsel is of the opinion that it is quite unlikely that the Committee would have delayed passing orders on a pet dt in 1921 till as late as 1927. In supporting that the applicant's pet was soon after his father's death it is clear that he did not take any further action till 1925 when subd another pet to the Committee. He moved in the matter

ffp 19-20 of (K)

R. D's report at p 22 of (K)

p 29 of (K)

p 25 of (K)

p 30 of (K)

p 3 of (K) + p 30 of (K)

p 5 ...

he was informed by the Tahsil that the application for payment of the allowance should be made on / after obtaining the sanad. This is clearly a case of neglect. In the circumstances there appears to be no reason to sanction the payment of the arrears prior to 1927 when the sanad was actually obtained.

p 13 of (K)

p 1 c f

· The Collr's proposal to sanction the payment of arrears from fas 1337 may be approved.

For orders.

SIG 22.1.30

The applicant's father died in 1921. There is no documentary evidence to show that the applic made an application for payme prior to 1925 although he states that he applied to the Committ in 1921 and as there was no reply till 1925 he applied in that y to The Tahsildar and also to the Temple Committee. His applicatio to the Tahsildar in 1925 is true but his application to the Comm in that year is not traceable in the records of the Committee Even the application on

S 17 of (K) & P 19 of (K)

Extract of Letter at P. of (K)

The Committee passed orders on 28.10.27 is not traceable and in the Committees order of that date no mention is made of the date of the application on which orders were based If under the circumstances the delay ~~in case~~ might be presumed to be due to the temple committee the records relating to which do not appear to have been kept in good order there might be some reason to sanction the arrears from 1925 when the applicant moved the Pakrimatam of from 1927 when the temple committee passed orders on the applicant's petition, the date of which is not ascertainable

P 20 of (K)

This may be sanctioned

BJS 25/1/30

S DW 25/1

M, W

A.S. (LR).

30 4-40

To A.S. (LR).

Draft subd

BJS 29/1

27.1.30.

28.1.30